

APPENDIX A

Cases In Which Award Of Fees
Equalled Or Exceeded 25% Of The Fund Plus Expenses

1. *Beech Cinema, Inc. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.*, 480 F. Supp. 1195 (S.D.N.Y. 1979) (fee equal to 53.2% of recovery, plus costs);
2. *Lewis v. Musham*, [1981 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶97,946 (S.D.N.Y. 1981) (fee equal to 49% of total recovery);
3. *Sharp v. Coopers & Lybrand*, No. 75-1313 (E.D. Pa. July 2, 1981) (fee equal to 47.95% of recovery, plus expenses);
4. *Abzug v. Kerkorian*, No. CA000981 (Los Angeles Sup. Ct. 1990) (fee equal to 45% of recovery, plus expenses);
5. *Green v. Emersons, Ltd.*, [1987 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶93,263 (S.D.N.Y. 1987) (42.6% of the fund, plus expenses);
6. *Levitin v. A Pea in the Pod, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:94-CV-0247D (N.D. Tex. Mar. 27, 1998) (fee award equal to 40% of recovery, plus expenses);
7. *Haitz v. Meyer*, No. 572968-3 (Alameda County Sup. Ct. Aug. 20, 1990) (fee award equal to 40% of recovery, plus expenses);
8. *In re Atlantic Financial Management, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 584 (D. Mass. May 9, 1989) (fee equal to 40% of recovery, plus expenses);
9. *Valente v. Pepsico, Inc.*, [1979 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶96,921 (D. Del. 1979) (fee and expense award equal to 38.8% of total recovery);
10. *Weinberger v. Jackson*, C-89-2301-CAL (N.D. Cal. March 19, 1991) (fee equal to 37% of recovery, plus expenses);
11. *Van Gemert v. Boeing Co.*, 516 F. Supp. 412 (S.D.N.Y. 1981) (fee and expense award equal to 36.2% of total recovery);
12. *Adams v. Standard Knitting Mills, Inc.*, [1978 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶96,377 (E.D. Tenn. 1978) (fee and expense award equal to 35.8% of recovery);
13. *Baron v. Commercial & Industrial Bank of Memphis*, [1979-1980 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶97,132 (S.D.N.Y. 1979) (fee and expense award equal to 35.5% of total recovery);

14. *B&B Inv. Club v. Kleinert's Inc.*, No. 73-642 (E.D. Pa. 1978) (fee and expense award equal to 35.1% of total recovery);
15. *Yourish v. California Amplifier, Inc.*, Lead Case No. CIV173569 (Ventura County Sup. Ct. Sept. 14, 2000) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
16. *Seaman v. Pratt*, No. 620887 (Orange County Sup. Ct. April 29, 1997) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
17. *Harris v. Brinkerhoff*, No. 90-3100-DT(JRx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 1995) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
18. *In re Consolidated Pinnacle West Sec. Litig./Resolution Trust Corporation-MeraBank Litig.*, Master File No. CIV-88-1830-PHX-PAR (D. Ariz. Dec. 30, 1993) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
19. *Goldman v. Belzberg*, Case No. C-754698 (Cal. Sup. Ct., L.A. County Nov. 30, 1993) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
20. *Lou v. Zax*, Case No. BC015017 (Cal. Sup. Ct., L.A. County Sept. 17, 1993) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
21. *Unocal Corporation v. Milken*, No. 90-1281-JSL(Tx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 1992) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
22. *In re De Laurentiis Entertainment Group Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-88-01582-MRP(Bx) (C.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 1991) (fee equal to 35% of total recovery, plus expenses);
23. *Cooper v. Hwang*, No. C-86-20146-WAI (N.D. Cal. March 5, 1991) (fee equal to 35% of total recovery, plus expenses);
24. *In re FPI/Agretech Sec. Litig.*, MDL No. 763 (D. Haw. Dec. 11, 1990) (fee award equal to 35% of total fund, plus expenses);
25. *A&J Deutscher Family Fund v. Pacific Scientific Co.*, CV-85-1850-PAR(JRx) (C.D. Cal. June 16, 1989) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
26. *Steiner v. Whittaker Corporation*, CA000817 (Los Angeles County Sup. Ct. March 23, 1989) (fee equal to 35% of recovery, plus expenses);
27. *Shore v. Parklane Hosiery Co.*, [1980 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶97,602 (S.D.N.Y. 1980) (fee equal to 35% of total recovery);
28. *Plascow v. Clausen Corp.*, [1982-1983 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶99,228 (S.D.N.Y. 1983) (fee equal to 34% of recovery, plus expenses);

29. *In re Apple Computer Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-84-20148(a)-JW (N.D. Cal. March 30, 1992) (fee equal to approximately 34% of total recovery, plus expenses);
30. *Wade v. Bayer AG, et al.*, No. CT-004748-06 (Shelby County, Tenn. Cir. Ct. Dec. 7, 2006) (awarded 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
31. *In re Interpool, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 3:04-cv-00321-SRC (D.N.J. Sept. 9, 2006) (awarded 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
32. *Denver Area Meat Cutters and Employers Pension Plan v. James L. Clayton, et al.*, Case No. E-19723 (Blount County Tenn. June 8, 2005) (fee award equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
33. *Lezin v. MiniMed, Inc., et al.*, Case No. BC251832 (Los Angeles Super. Ct. Aug. 10, 2004) (received fee of 33-1/3% of the fund, plus expenses);
34. *Franks v. Cheap Tickets, Inc., et al.*, Civil No. 01-1-2376-08-DDD (1st Cir. Haw. July 2, 2004) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of the fund, plus expenses);
35. *Stenovich v. Eccles*, No. 000907870 (Utah State Ct., Salt Lake County July 28, 2003) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
36. *In re Select Comfort Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 99-884 (D. Minn. Feb. 28, 2003) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
37. *In re InaCom Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 00-701 (D. Del. Jan 14, 2003) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
38. *In re APAC Teleservices Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 97-CIV-9145(BJS) (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 11, 2001) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
39. *In re DrKoop.com*, No. 00-CA-427-JRN (W.D. Tex. Nov. 14, 2001) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
40. *Saddle Rock Partners, Ltd. v. Hiatt*, No. 96-CIV-9474(SHS) (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 12, 2001) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
41. *Muhr v. PriceWaterhouseCoopers LLP*, Case No. 98-761-H (Neb. State Ct., Scotts Bluff County, Mar. 29, 2001) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
42. *Levanthal v. Tow*, Case No. 3:97-CV-21642-DJS (D. Conn. Jan 31, 2001) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);

43. *Branca v. Paymentech, Inc.*, No. 3:97-CV-2507-L (N.D. Tex. Jan. 4, 2001) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
44. *In re Schein Pharmaceutical, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master Docket No. 98-4311(JCL) (D.N.J. Dec. 7, 2000) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
45. *In re Future Healthcare Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-1-95-180 (S.D. Ohio Nov. 28, 2000) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
46. *In re Cityscape Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 1234 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 27, 2000) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
47. *Wagnerman v. Vassiliades*, Docket o. BUR-L-02401-96 (New Jersey Sup. Ct. Oct. 30, 2000) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
48. *Provenz v. Miller*, No. C-92-20159-RMW(EAI) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 23, 1999) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
49. *In re PNC Bank Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 94-1961 (W.D. Pa. Sept. 25, 1998) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
50. *Gordon v. American Adjustable Rate Term Trust*, Civil No. 4-95-666 (D. Minn. Sept. 3, 1996) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
51. *In re Olicom Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 3:94-CV-0511-D (N.D. Tex. Aug. 30, 1996) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
52. *In re ZZZZ Best Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-87-3574-RSWL(Bx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 1995) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
53. *In re Xytronyx Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 92-194-IEG(CM) (S.D. Cal. June 15, 1994) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
54. *Snyder v. Oneok Inc.*, Civil No. 88-C-1500E (N.D. Okla. Nov. 1, 1993) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
55. *In re Rykoff-Sexton Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-90-0689-DT(Tx) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 30, 1991) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
56. *In re New World Entertainment Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 88-06260-MRP(Kx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 1991) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
57. *In re Seagate Technology Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-84-20756(A)-WAI (N.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 1991) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);

58. *Mirochnick v. Glasky*, Civ. No. 86-6145-JMI(Px) (C.D. Cal. July 1, 1991) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
59. *In re Digital Sound Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 90-3533-MRP(Bx) (C.D. Cal. April 8, 1991) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
60. *Teichler v. DSC Communications Corp.*, CA 3-85-2005-T (N.D. Tex. 1990) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
61. *Lee v. Steloff*, Civ. No. 88-00811-HLH(GHKx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 1990) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
62. *Paul v. Western Health Plans, Inc.*, C-88-1182-K(M) (S.D. Cal. 1989) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of total recovery, plus expenses);
63. *Draney v. Wilson, Morton, Assaf & McElligott*, [1985-1986 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶92,360 (D. Ariz. 1985) (fee equal to 33-1/3% of recovery, plus expenses);
64. *In re Canadian Superior Energy Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 04-CV-02020(RO) (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 19, 2005) (fee award equal to 33% of recovery, plus expenses);
65. *Thomas & Thomas Rodmakers Inc., et al. v. Newport Adhesives and Composites, Inc., et al.*, Case No. CV-99-07796-FMC(RNBx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 17, 2005) (fee award equal to 33% of recovery, plus expenses);
66. *In re U.S. Interactive, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 01-CV-522 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 20, 2003) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
67. *Retsky v. Price Waterhouse*, No. 97-C-7694 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 30, 2002) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
68. *In re Lifescan, Inc. Consumer Litigation*, Case No. C-98-20321-JF (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2002) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
69. *In re Reliance Sec. Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 1304 (D. Del. Feb. 8, 2002) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
70. *In re General Instrument Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-3051 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 28, 2001) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
71. *Adams v. Amplidyne*, No. 99-4468(MLC) (D.N.J. Aug. 14, 2001) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);

72. *Sprague v. Qualcomm, Inc.*, Case No. 730565 (San Diego Sup. Ct. Apr. 23, 2001) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
73. *Klein v. King*, Civ. No. C-88-3141-FMS (N.D. Cal. May 10, 1993) (fee equal to 33% of recovery, plus expenses);
74. *In re Public Service Company of New Mexico*, [1992 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶96,988 (S.D. Cal. 1992) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
75. *Malanka v. De Castro*, [1990-1991 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶95,657 (D. Mass. 1990) (fee equal to 33% of total recovery, plus expenses);
76. *In re Fiddler's Woods Bondholders Litig.*, [1987-1988 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶93,537 (E.D. Pa. 1987) (fee equal to 32.7% of recovery, plus expenses);
77. *Morales v. Geothermal Resources Int'l, Inc.*, [1981 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶98,038 (S.D.N.Y. 1981) (fee equal to 31.75% of recovery, plus expenses);
78. *Kronfeld v. Transworld Airlines, Inc.*, 129 F.R.D. 598 (S.D.N.Y. 1990) (fee equal to 31.2% of recovery, plus expenses);
79. *In re Triton Energy Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, No. 5-98-CV-256 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 23, 2002) (fee equal to 31% of recovery, plus expenses);
80. *Underwood, et al. v. Lampert, et al.*, No. 1:02-cv-21154-CMA/Turnoff (S.D. Fla. Jan. 29, 2007) (awarded 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
81. *The Takara Trust v. Molex Incorporated, et al.*, No. 05-C-1245 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 1, 2007) (awarded 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
82. *In re AMERCO Sec. Litig.*, No. 04-2182-PHX-RJB (D. Ariz. Nov. 2, 2006) (awarded 30% of recovery; plus expenses);
83. *Greater Pennsylvania Carpenters Pension Fund v. Whitehall Jewellers, Inc., et al.*, No. 04 C 1107 (N.D. Ill. July 24, 2006) (awarding 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
84. *In re Stellant, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-03-4384 RHK/AJB (D. Minn. Nov. 16, 2005) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
85. *In re Descartes Systems Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 04 Civ. 3793(LTS)(MHD) (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 16, 2005) (fee award equal to 30% of the fund, plus expenses);

86. *Brody v. Hellman*, Case No. 00-CV-4142 (City & County Denver Colo. Aug. 30, 2005) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
87. *In re Daisytex International Litig.*, Master Docket No. 4:03-CV-212 (E.D. Tex. July 20, 2005) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
88. *In re Novell, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 2:99-CV-995 TC (D. Utah May 26, 2005) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
89. *Deckler v. Ionics, Inc., et al.*, No. 03-CV-10393-WGY (D. Mass. Apr. 4, 2005) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
90. *Southland Securities Corporation v. INSpire Insurance Solutions, Inc.*, No. 4:00-CV-355y (N.D. Tex. Mar. 9, 2005) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
91. *Steinbeck v. Sonic Innovations, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 2:00-CV-848-PGC (D. Utah May 25, 2004 (received fee of 30% of the fund, plus expenses);
92. *Broderick v. Mazur (PHP Healthcare)*, No. CV-98-1658-MRP(AJWx) (C.D. Cal. Apr. 27, 2004) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
93. *Ronconi v. Larkin*, Case No. 767087-5 OV (Alameda County Super. Ct. Jan. 6, 2004) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
94. *In re FirstPlus Financial Group, Inc.*, Master File No. 3:98-CV-2551-M (N.D. Tex. Oct. 14, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
95. *In re MTI Technology Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-00-745-DOC(ANx) (C.D. Cal. July 28, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
96. *Harris v. Intel Corp.*, No. C-00-1528-CW(EMC) (N.D. Cal. July 15, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
97. *In re THQ, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-00-01783-JFW(Ex) (C.D. Cal. June 23, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
98. *In re HI/FN Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-99-4531-SI (N.D. Cal. May 21, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
99. *In re ATI Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 01-2541 (E.D. Pa. Apr. 28, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
100. *Rasachack v. First Alliance Corp.*, Case No. 796083 (Orange County Sup. Ct. Apr. 3, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

101. *In re Lason, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-CV-76079 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 31, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
102. *Reagan v. Smart Modular Technologies, Inc.*, Case No. H204162-5 (Alameda County Sup. Ct. Mar. 13, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
103. *Warstadt v. Hastings Entertainment, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:00-CV-089-J (N.D. Tex. March 10, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
104. *Silver v. UICI*, No. 3:99CV2860-L (N.D. Tex. Mar. 3, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
105. *Bryant v. Avado Brands, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:97-CV-83(Df) (M.D. Ga. Jan. 27, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
106. *In re Advanced Lighting Technologies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 1:99CV836 (N.D. Ohio Jan 27, 2003) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
107. *Steele v. Electronics for Imaging, Inc.*, Lead Case No. 403099 (San Mateo County Sup. Ct. Dec. 20, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
108. *In re Micro Focus Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-1352-SBA (N.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
109. *Berger v. Compaq Computer Corp.*, No. 98-1148 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 22, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
110. *In re Secure Computing Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-99-1927-CW (N.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
111. *California Consumers v. SCI California Funeral Services, Inc.*, Case No. 35035 (Lake County Sup. Ct. Sept. 30, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
112. *Rosenberg v. Hybrid Networks, Inc.*, No. C-98-20956-RMW (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
113. *In re VisionAmerica, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 3-00-0279 (M.D. Tenn. Aug. 26, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
114. *Sinay v. Boron Lepore & Associates, Inc.*, No. 99-2231(DRD) (D.N.J. June 18, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

115. *In re Landry's Seafood Restaurants, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. H-99-1948 (S.D. Tex. June 13, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
116. *Goldberg v. Storm Technology, Inc.*, Case No. CV764797 (Santa Clara County Sup. Ct. May 17, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
117. *Karlin v. Alcatel, Inc.*, No. SACV-00-214-DOC(EEx) (C.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
118. *Pass v. Huh*, Case No. CV758927 (Santa Clara County Sup. Ct. Apr. 9, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
119. *In re S3 Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV770003 (Santa Clara County Sup. Ct. Apr. 8, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
120. *Lone Star Ladies Investment Club, A Texas General Partnership v. Schlotzsky's Inc.*, Civil Action No. A-98-CA-550-JN (W.D. Tex. Apr. 2, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
121. *Garza v. J.D. Edwards & Co.*, Civil Action No. 99-N-1744 (D. Colo. Mar. 21, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
122. *In re CHS Electronics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-8186-CIV-Gold/Simonton (S.D. Fla. Mar. 1, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
123. *In re P-Com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV776853 (Santa Clara County Sup. Ct. Feb. 8, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
124. *Thompson v. Webb*, Cause No. 98-371-D (Texas State Ct. Jan. 25, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
125. *In re Silicon Graphics, Inc. II Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-97-4362-SI (N.D. Cal. Jan 3, 2002) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
126. *di Giacomo v. Plains All American Pipeline*, Civil Action No. H-99-4137 (S.D. Tex. Dec. 19, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
127. *In re Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. No. CV-98-W-1407-S (N.D. Ala. Dec. 10, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
128. *Keeley v. Dean Witter Reynolds, Inc.*, Master Case File No. 737787 (Orange County Sup. Ct. Dec. 10, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
129. *Strausz v. Geschke*, Case No. CV755730 (Santa Clara County Sup. Ct. Aug. 24, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);

130. *Cooper v. Weinstein*, Civ. No. CV-96-10799 (Maricopa County Sup. Ct. Aug. 17, 2001 (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
131. *In re Unistar Financial Service Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 3:99-CV-1857-M (N.D. Tex. Aug. 17, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
132. *Jonas v. Aspec Technology, Inc.*, No. CV775037 (Santa Clara County Sup. Ct. Aug. 17, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
133. *In re PLC Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 97-11737-RGS (D. Mass. Feb. 9, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
134. *In re Prison Realty Sec. Litig.*, No. 3:99-0452 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 9, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
135. *Hood v. The DII Group, Inc.*, Case No. 97-CV-829 (Colo. State Ct. Feb. 8, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
136. *In re Aetna Inc. Sec. Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 1219 (M.D. Pa. Jan 4, 2001) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
137. *Gracy Fund L.P. v. EEX Corp.*, Case No. 3:98-CV-1808-M (N.D. Tex. Dec. 28, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
138. *In re Stratosphere Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-S-96-00708-PMP-(RLH) (D. Nev. Dec. 6, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
139. *In re Spectrian Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-97-4672-CW (N.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
140. *Kisilenko v. STB Systems, Inc.*, No. 3:99-CV-2872-M (N.D. Tex. Nov. 2, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
141. *Kennedy v. Peninsula Bank of San Diego*, Case No. GIC741278 (San Diego Sup. Ct. Oct. 27, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
142. *In re Sybase Inc. II Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-98-0252-CAL (N.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
143. *In re Adac Laboratories Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-98-4934-MHP (N.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
144. *Anderson v. EFTC Corp.*, Case No. 98-CV-962 (Colo. State Ct. Aug. 31, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

145. *Senior v. ShoLodge Inc.*, Civil Action No. 98-C-136 (Tenn. Chancery Ct. Aug. 16, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
146. *Schlagal v. Learning Tree International, Inc.*, No. CV-98-6384-GAF(Ex) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 7, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
147. *Vigneau v. Franklin Advantage Real Estate Income Fund*, Case No. 398743 (San Mateo Sup. Ct. July 25, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
148. *Milano v. Auhll*, Case No. SB213476 (Santa Barbara Sup. Ct. July 7, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
149. *Neibert v. Monarch Dental Corp.*, No. 3:99-CV-762-X (N.D. Tex. June 19, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
150. *Sussman v. AML Communications, Inc.*, No. CV-98-2010-CAS(Ex) (C.D. Cal. May 3, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
151. *In re Valence Technology Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-95-20459-JW(EAI) (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
152. *In re Western Savings and Loan Association/RTC Sec. Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 995 (D. Ariz. Apr. 5, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
153. *In re Transcript International Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 4:98CV3099 (D. Neb. Mar. 27, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
154. *Hertzberg v. Dignity Partners, Inc.*, No. C-96-4558-CAL (N.D. Cal. Feb 25, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
155. *Robertson v. Strassner*, Civil Action No. H-98-0364 (S.D. Tex. Jan. 5, 2000) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
156. *Robertson v. ProSoft I-Net Solutions Inc.*, Master Case No. 793247 (Orange County Sup. Ct. Nov. 16, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
157. *Molinari v. Symantec Corp.*, No. C-97-20021-JF(EAI) (N.D. Cal. Oct. 26, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
158. *Edwards v. Casino Data Systems, Inc.*, No. CV-S-96-01191-LDG(LRL) (D. Nev. Sept. 29, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
159. *In re Trimble Navigation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-98-20441-JF (N.D. Cal. Sept. 23, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

160. *In re Tele-Communications, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 97CV421 (Colo. Dist. Ct., Arapahoe County, Aug. 20, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
161. *In re Retirement Care Associates, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Civil Action No. 1:97-CV-2458-CC (N.D. Ga. July 21, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
162. *Wiesel v. Kennedy*, No. C-95-4472-THE (N.D. Cal. May 24, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
163. *Cannon v. Funco, Inc.*, Civil No. 4-95-533-DSD/JMM (D. Minn. April 30, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
164. *In re Aames Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-97-6714-CAS(ANx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 22, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
165. *Danielson v. DTM Corp.*, No. 97-CI-16633 (Texas Dist. Ct., Bexar County Jan. 22, 1999) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
166. *Newman v. TriQuint Semiconductor, Inc.*, No. 96-908-HA (D. Ore. Dec. 15, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
167. *Lindblom v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies Corp.*, No. 3:98-CV-112WS (S.D. Miss. Nov. 6, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
168. *Bierman v. Dasen*, Cause No. CV-96-124A (Mont. State Ct. Nov. 4, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
169. *McDaid v. Sanders*, No. C-95-20750-JW(EAI) (N.D. Cal. Nov. 2, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
170. *Powers v. Eichen*, No. 96-1431-B(AJB) (S.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
171. *Fecht v. The Price Co.*, No. 92-0497-JM(JFS) (S.D. Cal. Oct. 26, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
172. *McGann v. Ernst & Young*, No. SACV-93-0814-AHS(EEx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
173. *Thompson v. Veterinary Centers of America*, No. CV-97-4244-CM (C.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
174. *Kaufman v. SyQuest Technology, Inc.*, No. H-189594-4 (Alameda County Sup. Ct. Oct. 14, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

175. *Fields v. Dove Entertainment, Inc.*, Case No. BC174659 (Los Angeles Sup. Ct. Oct. 6, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
176. *In re Yes! Entertainment Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-97-1388-CRB (N.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
177. *In re AHI Healthcare Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-95-8658-MRD(BQRx) (C.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
178. *In re DSP Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-95-4025-CAL (N.D. Cal. Sept. 4, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
179. *In re Imp, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-96-20826-SW(PVT) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 26, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
180. *Cherednichenko v. Quarterdeck Corp.*, No. 97-4320-CM(CWx) (C.D. Cal. June 3, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
181. *Graubart v. Insignia Solutions PLC*, No. C-97-20265-JW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Apr. 20, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
182. *Gohler v. Wood*, No. 92-C-181-S (D. Utah Apr. 13, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
183. *Manson v. Muller*, No. C-95-0016-MMC (N.D. Cal. Mar. 31, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
184. *In re California Microwave, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-95-4009-CW (N.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
185. *In re Media Vision Technology Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-1015-FMS (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
186. *Rooney v. Identix, Inc.*, No. C-97-20082-RMW (N.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
187. *In re ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-95-128-GLT(EEEx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 16, 1998) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
188. *In re ProNet, Inc. 1933 and 1934 Act Sec. Litig.*, Master File Nos. 3:96-CV-1795-P, 3:96-CV-2116-P (N.D. Tex. Nov. 19, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

189. *Strassman v. Fresh Choice, Inc.*, No. C-95-20017-SW (N.D. Cal. Oct. 10, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
190. *Warshaw v. Xoma Corp.*, No. C-92-2264-MHP (JSB) (N.D. Cal. Sept. 5, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
191. *In re Crop Growers Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-95-58-GF-PGH (D. Mont. July 8, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
192. *In re Cirrus Logic Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-1591-WHO (N.D. Cal. July 1, 1997) (fees equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
193. *Roseman v. Bradke*, Case No. 95-0424-Civ-T-25C (M.D. Fla. June 11, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
194. *Brandwine v. Sony Corp.*, No. CV-94-8282-JGD (JGx) (C.D. Cal. June 6, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
195. *In re Conner Peripherals, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-95-2244-MHP (N.D. Cal. May 7, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
196. *In re NCD Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-96-1345-CAL (N.D. Cal. May 2, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
197. *Stack v. Lobo*, No. C-95-20049-SW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. April 4, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
198. *Siegel v. Lyons*, No. C-95-03588-DLJ (WDB) (N.D. Cal. March 26, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
199. *Schaefer v. Overland Express Funds, Inc.*, No. 95-CV-0314-B (POR) (S.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 1997) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
200. *Park v. Taco Cabana, Inc.*, Civil Action No. SA-95-CA-0847 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 20, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
201. *In re Concord Holdings Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-20579(A)-RMW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
202. *Kaplan v. Rose*, No. SACV-89-740-AHS (RWRx) (C.D. Cal. Nov. 15, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
203. *In re Asanté Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-20499(A)-RMW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

204. *Sardi v. Struthers Industries*, Civ. No. 94-C-787-H (N.D. Okla. Oct. 21, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
205. *Fisher v. Acuson Corp.*, C-93-20477-RMW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
206. *In re Gupta Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-1517-EAI (N.D. Cal. Sept. 30, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
207. *Miller v. Vans, Inc.*, No. SACV-95-96-AHS (EEEx) (C.D. Cal. July 22, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
208. *In re Styles on Video Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-8342-R (C.D. Cal. July 11, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
209. *In re International Totalizator Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 94-979-J (LSP) (S.D. Cal. June 17, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
210. *Slomovics v. Gallogly*, No. C-94-2262-CAL (N.D. Cal. June 13, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
211. *In re Coastcast Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-94-3712-DT (AJWx) (C.D. Cal. May 6, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
212. *In re Medeva Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-93-4376-KN (AJWx) (C.D. Cal. Mar. 25, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
213. *Leonard v. NetFRAME Systems, Inc.*, No. C-95-0238-DLJ (N.D. Cal. Mar. 20, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
214. *Kravitz v. Iwerks Entertainment, Inc.*, No. CV-95-2541-KMW (C.D. Cal. March 12, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
215. *Kurtz v. Blum*, No. SACV-94-1043-GLT (EEEx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 20, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
216. *In re WCT Sec. Litig.*, No. C-94-6524-JMI (BQRx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
217. *In re Hexcel Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-92-4811-SBA (N.D. Cal. Jan. 22, 1996) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
218. *In re VISX Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-20649-EAI (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

219. *In re Ross Systems Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-0017-DLJ (WDB) (N.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
220. *In re National Medical Enterprises Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-91-5452-TJH (EEEx) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
221. *In re Storage Technology Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 92-B-750 (D. Colo. Dec. 1, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
222. *In re 4th Dimension Software Ltd., Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-94-279-AHS (EEEx) (C.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
223. *O'Sullivan v. Trident Microsystems, Inc.*, No. C-95-02294-EAI (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
224. *Lee v. Sierra On-Line, Inc.*, No. CIV-S-92-2089-EJG-PAN (E.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
225. *In re Archer Communications Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-91-6964-R (C.D. Cal. Nov. 1, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
226. *Pfeifer v. McFall*, No. CV-94-1862-ABC (BRx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 23, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
227. *In re Proxima Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 93-1139-J(LSP) (S.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
228. *In re Compression Labs, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-95-2222-FMS (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Sept. 22, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
229. *In re Aurora Electronics Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-93-3292-DT (JGx) (C.D. Cal. Sept. 5, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
230. *In re RasterOps Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-95-2247-EAI (N.D. Cal. Aug. 28, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
231. *In re National Health Laboratories Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-92-1949-RBB (S.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
232. *Pleasant Overseas Corp. v. Hajjar*, Master File No. C-93-20197-RMW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
233. *In re Jenny Craig Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-92-845-J (LSP) (S.D. Cal. June 19, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

234. *In re SuperMac Technology, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-20206-RPA (PVT) (N.D. Cal. June 12, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
235. *In re Radius Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-92-20597-RPA (EAI) (N.D. Cal. June 12, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
236. *In re Applied Magnetics Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-93-6195-DT (JR_x) (C.D. Cal. May 30, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
237. *Tolan v. Adler*, No. C-90-20710-WAI (PVT) (N.D. Cal. May 15, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
238. *In re Kaufman and Broad Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-92-5049-WJR (SH_x) (C.D. Cal. April 24, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
239. *In re Digital Microwave Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-90-20241-RMW (N.D. Cal. March 17, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
240. *In re Catalyst Semiconductor Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-20960-RPA (EAI) (N.D. Cal. March 17, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
241. *Rogal v. Costello*, No. C-91-20195-RPA (EAI) (N.D. Cal. March 13, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
242. *In re Alza Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-20290-RMW (PVT) (N.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
243. *In re Vitesse Semiconductor Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-92-3993-TJH (K_x) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 1995) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
244. *In re Presley Companies Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-92-0545-GLT (RWR_x) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
245. *In re Software Publishing Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-20246-RPA (PVT) (N.D. Cal. Dec. 14, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
246. *In re Advanced Micro Devices Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-20662-EAI (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
247. *In re Castle Energy Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-94-0336-DT (CHK_x) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 5, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
248. *Wortman v. FileNet Corp.*, No. CV-93-0011-LHM (EE_x) (C.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

249. *Adam v. Silicon Valley Bancshares*, No. C-93-20399-RMW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Nov. 28, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
250. *In re Sierra Semiconductor Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-20286-EAI (N.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
251. *Calzone v. Video Lottery Technologies*, No. CV-92-068-BU (D. Mont. Oct. 4, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
252. *In re Platinum Software Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-94-70-AHS (RWRx) (C.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
253. *Haltman v. Aura Systems, Inc.*, No. CV-92-3388-CM (C.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
254. *Bourne v. Premier Anesthesia, Inc.*, No. CV-93-4782-JSL (GHKx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 24, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
255. *In re Lockheed Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-89-5799-TJH (Bx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
256. *In re Sam & Libby, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 92-1564-WHO (N.D. Cal. Aug. 4, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
257. *In re Altera Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-92-20399-JW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. July 29, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
258. *In re Advanced Interventional Systems Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-92-723-AHS (RWRx) (C.D. Cal. July 15, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
259. *In re Retix Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-93-1683-JSL (GHKx) (C.D. Cal. July 13, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
260. *Sherman v. Widder*, Civ. No. TS-92-1827-IEG (M) (S.D. Cal. June 21, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
261. *Levy v. Eletr*, No. C-88-3457-FMS (N.D. Cal. June 20, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
262. *Scheatzle v. Eubanks*, No. C-92-20785-JW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. June 6, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
263. *Kassover v. Huta*, Civ. No. 90-00848-IEG (LSP) (S.D. Cal. May 11, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

264. *In re Pacific Enterprises Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-92-0841-JSL (EEx) (C.D. Cal. May 5, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
265. *Weinberg v. Liebl*, Civ. No. 89-1883-IEG (M) (S.D. Cal. March 29, 1994) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
266. *Shields v. Smith*, No. C-90-0349-FMS (N.D. Cal. Dec. 21, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
267. *In re GE Energy Choice Light Bulb Consumer Litig.*, Master File No. C-92-4447-BAC (N.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
268. *In re Amdahl Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-92-20609-JW (EAI) (N.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
269. *In re International Technology Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-88-440-RMT (Sx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 24, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
270. *In re Great American Bank, SSB, Securities/Derivative Litig.*, Civil No. 89-1571-N(M) (S.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
271. *In re Synoptics Sec. Litig.*, No. C-91-20429-WAI (EAI) (N.D. Cal. June 28, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
272. *Church v. Consolidated Freightways, Inc.*, Nos. C-90-2290-DLJ and C-91-4168-DLJ (N.D. Cal. April 30, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
273. *In re Falcon Cable Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-91-2944-THJ (GHKx) (C.D. Cal. March 11, 1993) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
274. *In re Software Toolworks Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-90-2906-FMS (N.D. Cal. Dec. 4, 1992) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
275. *In re Saatchi & Saatchi Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 90-0669-RMT (Ex) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 5, 1992) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
276. *Cytryn v. Cook*, No. C-89-20801-RFP (N.D. Cal. May 1, 1992) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
277. *In re Wyse Technologies Sec. Litig.*, Civ. No. 89-1818-WHO (N.D. Cal. March 30, 1992) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
278. *In re DCA Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 1:89-CV-2195-RCF (N.D. Ga. Jan. 6, 1992) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

279. *In re Network Equipment Technologies Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-90-1138-DLJ (N.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
280. *In re Cetus Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-90-2042(A)-EFL (N.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
281. *Perkins v. Preletz*, Civ. No. 90-2006-WAI (N.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
282. *Corron v. Koppers Co.*, No. Civ. S-88-429-DFL/JFM (E.D. Cal. Sept. 16, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
283. *In re Technical Equities Federal Sec. Litig.*, C-86-20157(A)-WAI (N.D. Cal. July 23, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
284. *Hartley v. Stamford Towers Limited Partnership*, No. C-90-2146-JPV (N.D. Cal. July 11, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
285. *Sweet v. Hanson*, No. C-88-4041-DLJ (N.D. Cal. June 27, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
286. *In re Businessland Sec. Litig.*, C-90-20476-RFP (N.D. Cal. June 18, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
287. *In re Genentech, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-88-4038-DLJ (N.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
288. *In re Verbatim Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-84-20164(A)-SW (N.D. Cal. Feb. 13, 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
289. *Roberts v. Heim*, [1991 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶96,221 (N.D. Cal. 1991) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
290. *Weinberger v. Schroeder*, Civ. No. 84-20757-WAI (N.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
291. *Teichler v. DSC Communications Corp.*, CA3-85-2005-T (N.D. Tex. Oct. 24, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
292. *In re Allegheny International Shareholder Litig.*, Civil Action No. 86-1651 (W.D. Pa. Sept. 20, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
293. *In re National Education Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-89-405-AHS (C.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);

294. *In re Trustcorp. Sec. Litig.*, Civ. No. 3:89-CV-7139 (N.D. Ohio Aug. 3, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
295. *Feldman v. Glaze*, Civ. No. C-87-20723-WAI (N.D. Cal. June 4, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
296. *Sanders v. Robinson Humphrey/American Express, Inc.*, No. 1:85-cv-172-RLV (N.D. Ga. May 23, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
297. *Imperial Corporation of America v. Thygerson*, Civ. No. 89-0126 JLI (M) (S.D. Cal. Feb. 22, 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
298. *In re MDC Holdings Sec. Litig.*, [1990 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶95,474 (S.D. Cal. 1990) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
299. *Heideman v. Toreson*, Civ. No. C-86-200024-SW (N.D. Cal. Dec. 26, 1989) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
300. *In re Gibraltar Financial Corp. Sec. Litig.*, CV-87-07876-MRP(Bx) (C.D. Cal. Sept. 9, 1989) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
301. *In re Eagle Computer Sec. Litig.*, C-84-20382(A)-SW (N.D. Cal. 1989) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
302. *In re Pizza Time Theatre Sec. Litig.*, C-84-20048(A)-RPA (N.D. Cal. 1989) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
303. *Larkins v. Singley*, Civ. No. 83-2533-PHX CLH (D. Ariz. Dec. 17, 1988) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
304. *In re Cousins Sec. Litig.*, 84-1821-B(IEG) (S.D. Cal. 1988) (fee equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
305. *Mancino v. McMahan*, No. C-84-0407-CAL (N.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 1987) (fee award equal to 30% of recovery, plus expenses);
306. *Eltman v. Grandma Lee's, Inc.*, [1986-1987 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶92,798 (E.D.N.Y. 1986) (fee award equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
307. *Friedland v. Barnes*, [1986-1987 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶92,754 (S.D.N.Y. 1986) (30% of the settlement fund awarded as attorneys' fees, plus expenses);
308. *McFarland v. Memorex Corp.*, No. C-79-2007 (N.D. Cal. 1985) (fee award equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);

309. *Epstein v. Weiss*, [1969-1970 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶92,588 (E.D. La. 1970) (fee award equal to 30% of total recovery, plus expenses);
310. *Thomas & Thomas Rodmakers, Inc. v. Newport Adhesives and Composites, Inc.*, Case No. CV-99-07796-FMC(RNx) (fee award equal to 29% of recovery, plus expenses);
311. *Olmsted v. ADAC Laboratories*, Case No. CV793923 (Santa Clara Super. Ct. May 10, 2004) (fee equal to 28% of recovery, plus expenses);
312. *Scholl v. Applied Digital Access, Inc.*, Case No. GIC735418 (San Diego County Sup. Ct. Sept. 21, 2001) (fee equal to 28% of recovery, plus expenses);
313. *Kensington Capital Management v. Oakley, Inc.*, Master File No. SACV-97-808-GLT(EEx) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2000) (fee equal to 28% of recovery, plus expenses);
314. *In re Abbey Healthcare Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-96-6397-DDP(AJWx) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 1996) (fee equal to 28% of recovery, plus expenses);
315. *In re Tokos Medical Corporation Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-92-791-GLT(EEx) (C.D. Cal. June 5, 1996) (fee equal to 28% of recovery, plus expenses);
316. *Olmsted v. ADAC Laboratories*, Case No. CV793923 (Santa Clara Super. Ct. May 10, 2004) (fee equal to 28% of recovery, plus expenses);
317. *In re MP3.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 00-CV-1873-NLS (S.D. Cal. July 12, 2001) (fee equal to 27.5% of recovery, plus expenses);
318. *In re Michael Milken and Associates Sec. Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 924 (S.D.N.Y. Jan 4, 2001) (fee equal to 27.5% of total recovery, plus expenses);
319. *Thurber v. Mattel, Inc.*, No. CV-99-10368-MRP(CWx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2003) (fee equal to 27% of recovery, plus expenses);
320. *In re Southern Pacific Funding Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-98-1239-MA (D. Ore. Feb. 21, 2001) (fee equal to 27% of recovery, plus expenses);
321. *Heller v. Quovadx, Inc.*, No. 04-cv-00665 (D. Colo. Apr. 13, 2007) (awarded 25% of the recovery, plus expenses);
322. *In re Amerada Hess Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 2:02cv03359 (D.N.J. Apr. 16, 2007) (awarded 25% of recovery, plus expenses);

323. *In re Charlotte Russe Holding, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 04cv2528 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 30, 2006) (awarded 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
324. *In re Surebeam Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. 03-CV-01721-JM(POR) (S.D. Cal. July 17, 2006) (awarded 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
325. *In re U.S. Aggregates, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-01-1688-CW (N.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2006) (awarding 25% of the recovery, plus expenses);
326. *In re Titan, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 04-CV-0676-LAB(NLS) (S.D. Cal. Dec. 19, 2005) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
327. *In re Intershop Communications AG Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-20333-JW (N.D. Cal. Dec. 5, 2005) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
328. *In re Amazon.Com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-0358-L (W.D. Wash. Nov. 11, 2005) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
329. *In re Intermune, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-03-2954-SI (N.D. Cal. Aug. 26, 2005) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery; plus expenses);
330. *In re Pemstar, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 02-1821 (DWF/SRN) (D. Minn. May 27, 2005) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
331. *In re Ventro Corp. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-01-1287-SBA (N.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2005) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery; plus expenses);
332. *In re Specialty Laboratories, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV 02-04352-DDP(RCx) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 28, 2004) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
333. *Brody v. TALX Corporation, et al.*, No. 4:01CV2014-HEA (E.D. Mo. Oct. 6, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
334. *In re National Golf Properties, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 02-1383-GHK(RZx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2004) (fee award equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
335. *In re Accelerated Networks, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. CV-01-3585-SJO(MANx) (C.D. Cal. July 6, 2004) (awarded 25% of fund recovered, plus expenses);
336. *In re Infonet Services Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-01-10456-NM(CWx) (C.D. Cal. July 26, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of the fund, plus expenses);
337. *In re Mutual Risk Management Ltd. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 02CV1110K(POR) (S.D. Cal. July 22, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of the fund, plus expenses);

338. *In re Accelerated Networks, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-01-3585-SJO(MANx) (C.D. Cal. June 28, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of the fund, plus expenses);
339. *In re DJ Orthopedics, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 01-CV-2238-K(RBB) (S.D. Cal. June 21, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of the fund, plus expenses);
340. *In re TUT Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-2659-CW (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
341. *In re M&A West, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-0033-SBA (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2004) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
342. *St. Denis J. Villere & Co. v. CapRock Communications Corp.*, Civil Action No. 3-00-1613-N (N.D. Tex. Nov. 2, 2003) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
343. *In re Emulex Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-01-0219-GLT(ANx) (C.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2003) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
344. *In re FPA Medical Management, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 98cv0928-L(AJB) (S.D. Cal. Oct. 14, 2003) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
345. *In re Sagent Technology Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-20081-JW(RS) (N.D. Cal. Apr. 28, 2003) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
346. *In re Versata, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-01-1439-SI (N.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2003) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
347. *In re Nike Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-01-332-KI (D. Or. Feb. 24, 2003) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
348. *Spiegel v. Tenfold Corp.*, Case No. 2:00-CV-652-C (D. Utah Dec. 2, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
349. *Haack v. MAX Internet Communications, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:00-CV-1662-G (N.D. Tex. Nov. 5, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
350. *Sherleigh Associates LLC v. Windmere-Durable Holdings, Inc.*, No. 98-2273-CIVLenard/Garber, (S.D. Fla. Sept. 10, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
351. *In re Gateway, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 00-CV-2345-B(POR) (S.D. Cal. Sept. 9, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);

352. *In re Phycor Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Civ. Action No. 3-98-0834 (M.D. Tenn. July 19, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
353. *Zinno v. VantageMed Corp.*, No. CIV-S-00-0523-MLS(DAD) (E.D. Cal. July 18, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
354. *Bruno v. SmarTalk Teleservices, Inc.*, Case No. BC194788 (Los Angeles County Sup. Ct. June 10, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
355. *In re Premier Laser Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-98-388-AHS(EEx) (C.D. Cal. May 6, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
356. *In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. C-00-3862-PJH (N.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
357. *In re Mitek Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 00-CV-2028-L(AJB) (S.D. Cal. March 8, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
358. *In re California Software Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-00810-AHS(EEx) (C.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2002) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
359. *In re Bergen Capital Trust 1 Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-99-14642-AHS(ANx) (C.D. Cal. July 10, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
360. *In re Bergen Brunswick Corp. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-99-1305-AHS(ANx) (C.D. Cal. July 10, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
361. *In re Avant! Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-96-20132-RMW(EAI) (N.D. Cal. June 11, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
362. *Marks v. Simulation Sciences, Inc.*, No. SACV-98-546-GLT(ANx) (C.D. Cal. June 4, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
363. *Steiner v. Aurora Foods, Inc.*, No. C-00-602-CW (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
364. *In re Petco Animal Supplies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 98-CV-1521-L(POR) (S.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
365. *In re Indus International, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-00-0392-JCS (N.D. Cal. Jan 26, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);

366. *Kalmus v. Wertz*, No. SACV-97-191-GLT(EEx) (C.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
367. *In re American Bank Note Holographics Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 99-CIV-0412(CM) (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 2, 2001) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
368. *Bassman v. Union Pacific Corp.*, No. 3:97-CV-2819-L (N.D. Tex. Dec. 13, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
369. *Werczberger v. StorMedia, Inc.*, No. C-97-20538-RMW(PVT)MED (N.D. Cal. Nov. 22, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
370. *In re Gametech International, Inc.*, Master File No. Civ-98-0268-PHX(ROS) (D. Ariz. Sept. 8, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
371. *Genna v. Digital Link Corp.*, No. C-96-20867-RMW(EAI) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 4, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
372. *Klinger v. Nicolosi*, Civil Action No. 99-K-705 (D. Colo. July 25, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
373. *Sherleigh Associates Inc. Profit Sharing Plan v. Cohr, Inc.*, No. 98-3028-JSL(BQRx) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
374. *In re Urohealth Systems Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. SACV-97-552-GLT(EEx) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
375. *Frenkil v. Mossimo, Inc.*, No. SACV-97-761-GLT(ANx) (C.D. Cal. Mar. 20, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
376. *Marucci v. Overland Data, Inc.*, No. 97cv0833-TW(JFS) (S.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
377. *In re Premier Laser Systems, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. SACV-98-388-AHS(EEx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 29, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
378. *In re Leasing Solutions, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-98-4366-WHO (N.D. Cal. Feb. 26, 2000) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
379. *In re Merisel Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-94-3959-R (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 1999) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
380. *Rosenberg v. Hybrid Networks, Inc.*, C-98-20956-RMW (N.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 1999) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);

381. *Mishelow v. DSP Communications, Inc.*, No. C-98-0765-FMS (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 1999) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
382. *In re Raster Graphics Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-98-0807-FMS (N.D. Cal. Feb. 19, 1999) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
383. *Wills v. Blum*, CV-96-6441-DDP(CTx) (C.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 1998) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
384. *In re Glen Ivy Resorts, Inc.*, No. SB 92-16083 MG (Bankr. C.D. Cal. Jan. 30, 1998) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
385. *Harvey M. Jasper Retirement Trust v. Ivax Corp.*, Consolidated Case No. 94-865-CIVATKINS (S.D. Fla. Aug. 7, 1997) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
386. *In re MicroAge Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CIV-94-1434-PHX-ROS (D. Ariz. Aug. 5, 1997) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
387. *LB Partners, L.P. v. Neutrogena Corp.*, No. 94-5492-LGB(JRx) (C.D. Cal. June 5, 1997) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
388. *Smith v. Midisoft*, No. C-95-0449Z (W.D. Wash. Jan. 29, 1997) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
389. *In re Hollywood Park Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. CV-94-6551-ABC(GHKx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 26, 1996) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
390. *Krause v. Sierra Tucson Companies, Inc.*, No. CIV-92-623-TUC-JMR (D. Ariz. June 20, 1994) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
391. *Furman v. Sierra Pacific Resources*, CIVIL-N-92-543-ECR(PHA) (D. Nev. June 15, 1994) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
392. *In re Sunrise Technologies Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-92-0948-TEH (N.D. Cal. April 20, 1994) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
393. *In re Thortec International, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. C-88-2470-FMS (N.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 1989) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
394. *In re United Energy Corporation Solar Power Modular Tax Shelter Investment Sec. Litig.*, [1989 Transfer Binder] Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶94,376 (C.D. Cal. 1989) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses);
395. *In re Oak Industries Sec. Litig.*, Master File No. 83-0537-G(M) (S.D. Cal. Aug. 29, 1986) (fee equal to 25% of recovery, plus expenses).

3138/001/X93210.v1